

# Research Update:

# U.S. Bancorp Downgraded To 'A' From 'A+' On Narrower Outperformance Versus Peers; Outlook Stable

May 11, 2023

#### Overview

- Although U.S. Bancorp (USB) continues to generate higher earnings than its large regional bank peers, we believe its overall performance advantage has narrowed as those peers have gained market share and delivered stable results.
- In addition, USB's capital levels are lower than peers, and we believe the company will need to build capital ratios to meet possible regulatory requirements by earliest year-end 2024 should it move into a category II bank designation.
- We lowered our ratings on USB and its subsidiaries, including our long-term issuer credit and unsecured debt ratings on the holding company to 'A' from 'A+', and the issuer credit ratings on its operating subsidiary to 'A+' from 'AA-'.
- The stable outlook on USB and its operating subsidiaries reflects our expectation that the company will generate stable earnings, build capital, and maintain adequate asset quality and good balance sheet metrics.

# **Rating Action**

On May 11, 2023, S&P Global Ratings lowered its long-term issuer credit ratings on U.S. Bancorp to 'A' from 'A+' and its issuer credit ratings on the bank's operating subsidiaries (including U.S. Bank N.A., MUFG Union Bank N.A., and Elavon Financial Services DAC) to 'A+' from 'AA-'. The outlook on the long-term issuer credit ratings is stable. We also lowered our short-term ratings on the operating subsidiaries to 'A-1' from 'A-1+'. At the same time, we lowered the ratings on the subordinated debt and preferred stock issued from the holding company to 'A-' and 'BBB' from 'A' and 'BBB+', respectively.

#### PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

#### Stuart Plesser

New York

+ 1 (212) 438 6870 stuart.plesser @spglobal.com

#### SECONDARY CONTACT

#### Rian M Pressman, CFA

New York

+ 1 (212) 438 2574 rian.pressman @spglobal.com

## Rationale

The downgrade primarily reflects our view that USB's outperformance versus peers has narrowed. Although USB continues to perform well with respect to earnings, its results in a variety of metrics, such as risk-adjusted returns, indicate its performance advantage over large regional peers is no longer as outsize as it used to be. In the last few years, large regional bank peers, such as PNC and Truist Financial Corp., have closed the performance gap with USB through strategic acquisitions and building scale and efficiency. As a result, they've delivered consistent and stable results.

In addition, USB's capital levels are among the lowest of its large regional peers, with a common equity tier 1 (CET1) of 8.5% at the end of the first guarter versus a median of 10.6% among rated U.S. bank peers. Its risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio is also at the low end of peers, totaling 7.2% at year-end 2022, and at the lower end of our threshold to view it as adequate. As of the first quarter, its tangible common equity-to-tangible asset ratio, which includes unrealized losses in its securities portfolio, was a below-peer 4.8%.

The downgrade also reflects that while we think the acquisition of Union Bank in December 2022 complements USB's national strategy by adding market share and expanding its deposit base, it also adds integration risk and has increased USB's loan concentration in California.

We expect USB to build up its capital ratios from current low levels. Given its earnings power, we think USB will add about 20 bps-25 bps to its CET1 ratio per quarter going forward, largely by retaining earnings but also by optimizing risk-weighted assets and the maturity profile of its available-for-sale securities portfolio. We expect USB's CET1 ratio to reach 9.0% by year-end 2023, and to rise further to 10.0% by the end of 2024, excluding other comprehensive income (OCI). Management expects the bank will possibly fall into a category II designation under the Federal Reserve's tailoring rules at the earliest, end of 2024. Such a designation would necessitate that USB include OCI in its capital ratio. The rapid rise in interest rates resulted in negative OCI of \$10.2 billion at the end of first-quarter 2023. If current OCI losses were incorporated in its CET1 ratio, it would reduce the ratio by about 200 bps.

Notwithstanding the capital we expect it to build to bolster regulatory ratios, in our view USB's funding and liquidity compare well with peers. Excluding an expected decline, deposits in the first quarter were down sequentially by about 1%, marginally lower than the 1.3 % median for rated U.S. bank peers. The cost of interest-bearing deposits rose only about 42 bps sequentially, better than most of USB's peers. About 51% of its deposits are uninsured, and they are made up largely of operational deposits, with a sizable portion linked to its trust business. While we expect deposit outflows to remain manageable, we believe USB has ample available liquidity to handle these potential declines. Its available liquidity, including cash, securities, and access to the Federal Home Loan Bank and Fed discount window, is roughly 126% of uninsured deposits.

Like peers, USB's asset quality remains good and charge-off levels remain well below historical ones. Commercial real estate (CRE) represents about 14% of loans, but offices only 2% of loans. However, USB's California exposure has increased and as of year-end 2022 was 40.0% of CRE loans, 47.0% of residential loans, and 17.5% of commercial loans. Given the strength of its earnings power, including its unique fee-generating businesses, we think USB should be able to build loan loss reserves without significantly hampering profitability if economic conditions worsen. This is reflected by its performance in the Fed's 2022 stress test, in which it had the lowest capital burndown of regional banks participating in the test.

## Outlook

The stable outlook on USB and its operating subsidiaries reflects our expectation that although the uncertain regional banking conditions could create some challenges for USB, the company will continue to perform better than most regional bank peers, while navigating economic risks. We expect the company to generate peer-leading earnings, build capital, and maintain adequate asset quality and funding and liquidity metrics.

## Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if:

- USB is unable to build capital to at least 150 bps above its projected CET1 required minimum;
- Profitability, risk profile, or balance sheet metrics start to converge with lower-rated peers;
- Asset quality deteriorates more than we expect;
- Deposit outflows are larger than we expect;
- Liquidity declines significantly;
- The company does not manage interest rate risk effectively; or
- Elevated risks arise from the acquisition of Union Bank, although we don't expect this.

## Upside scenario

We are unlikely to raise the rating in the next two years, given the company's performance relative to peers and the elevated risks in the economy.

# **Ratings Score Snapshot**

То	From
A/Stable/A-1	A+/Negative/A-1
A+/Stable/A-1	AA-/Negative/A-1+
a+	aa-
bbb+	bbb+
Very strong (+2)	Very strong (+2)
Adequate (0)	Adequate (0)
Strong (+1)	Strong (+1)
Adequate and adequate (0)	Adequate and adequate (0)
0	+1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
	A/Stable/A-1  A+/Stable/A-1  a+  bbb+  Very strong (+2)  Adequate (0)  Strong (+1)  Adequate and adequate (0)  0  0  0

	То	From
Sovereign support	0	0
Additional factors	0	0

SACP--Stand-alone credit profile.

ESG credit indicators: E-2, S-2, G-2

## **Related Criteria**

- General Criteria: Hybrid Capital: Methodology And Assumptions, March 2, 2022
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Financial Institutions Rating Methodology, Dec. 9, 2021
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 9, 2021
- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Guarantee Criteria, Oct. 21, 2016
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

## **Ratings List**

#### Downgraded

	То	From
U.S. Bancorp		
Senior Unsecured	А	A+
Subordinated	Α-	А
Preferred Stock	BBB	BBB+
U.S. Bank N.A.		
Senior Unsecured	A+	AA-
Commercial Paper	A-1	A-1+
USB Capital IX		
Preferred Stock	BBB	BBB+

#### USB Realty Corp.

BBB	BBB+
То	From
A+/Stable/A-1	AA-/Negative/A-1+
То	From
A/Stable/A-1	A+/Negative/A-1
	To  A+/Stable/A-1  To

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings  $information\ is\ available\ to\ subscribers\ of\ Ratings Direct\ at\ www. capitaliq. com.\ All\ ratings\ affected\ by\ this\ rating$ action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright @ 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.